1	JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO (CSBN 44332) United States Attorney
2	BRIAN STRETCH (CSBN 163973) Chief, Criminal Division
4 5 6 7 8	DENISE MARIE BARTON (MABN 634052) Assistant United States Attorney  450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055 San Francisco, California 94102 Telephone: (415) 436-7359 Facsimile: (415) 436-7234 denise.barton@usdoj.gov  Attorneys for Plaintiff
9	7 Autoriteys for 1 familiff
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
12	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
13	
14 15	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,  CR No. 08-0025 WHA  STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER  EXCLUDING TIME UNDER 18 U.S.C. § 3161
16 17 18 19	v.  REMSEN BENEDICT,  Defendant.
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	On March 18, 2008, the parties in this case appeared before the Court and stipulated that time should be excluded from the Speedy Trial Act calculations from March 18, 2008 through April 15, 2008. The parties represented that granting the continuance was necessary for effective preparation of counsel, taking into account the exercise of due diligence, to afford //

1	defense counsel time to prepare motions he intends to file. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(iv).
2	
3	SO STIPULATED:
4	
5	JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO
6	United States Attorney
7	DATED: March 19, 2008  /s/ Denise Barton  DENISE MARIE BARTON
8	Assistant United States Attorney
9	
10	DATED: March 19, 2008  /s/ GEORGE C. BOISSEAU
11	GEORGE C. BOISSEAU GEOFFREY DUNHAM Attorney for REMSEN BENEDICT
12	Audiley for REMISER BENEDICT
13	
14	As the Court found on March 18, 2008, and for the reasons stated above, the Court finds that
15	the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the
16	defendant in a speedy trial and that time should be excluded from the Speedy Trial Act
17	calculations from March 18, 2008 through April 15, 2008 for effective preparation of counsel.
18	See 18 U.S.C. §3161 (h)(8)(A). The failure to grant the requested continuance would deny
19	counsel reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of
20	due diligence, and would result in a miscarriage of justice. See 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(8)(B)(iv).
21	SELVES DISTRICT CO
22	SO ORDERED.  ST IS SO ORDERED ST IS SO
23	Judge William Alsup
24	DATED: March 25, 2008. HON. WILLIAM LSUP
25	United States District Court Judge
26	
27	
28	